



is what is expected of probationers, and without more, is insufficient to justify early termination.” United States v. Caruso, 241 F.Supp. 2d 466, 469 (D.N.J. 2003); see also Title 18 U.S.C. Section 3583(e)(1) (“The Court may . . . terminate a term of supervised release and discharge the defendant released at any time after the expiration of one year of supervised release . . . if it is satisfied that such action is warranted by the conduct of the defendant released and the interest of justice.”); Caruso, 241 F. Supp at 468 (“There is general agreement that the early termination of probation . . . is warranted only in cases where the defendant demonstrates changed circumstances, such as exceptionally good behavior.”).

Here, Defendant has failed to identify any changed circumstances, such as exceptionally good behavior. Defendant simply states he has successfully “completed more than one half of [his] Supervised Release program.” As discussed, compliance, alone, is insufficient for early release.

Accordingly, **IT IS** on this 24<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2010,

**ORDERED** that Defendant’s motion/application for early termination be and hereby is **DENIED**.

s/ Stanley R. Chesler  
Stanley R. Chesler, U.S.D.J